Shear-free axially symmetric dissipative fluids

L. Herrera* and A. Di Prisco†
Escuela de Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Central de Venezuela, Caracas, Venezuela

J. Ospino‡
Departamento de Matemática Aplicada and Instituto Universitario de Física Fundamental y Matematicas, Universidad de Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain

(Dated: June 27, 2014)

We study the general properties of axially symmetric dissipative configurations under the shear-free condition. The link between the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor and the vorticity, as well as the role of the dissipative fluxes, is clearly exhibited. As a particular case we examine the geodesic fluid. In this latter case, the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor always vanishes, suggesting that no gravitational radiation is produced during the evolution. Also (for the geodesic case), in the absence of dissipation, the system evolves towards a FRW spacetime if the expansion scalar is positive.

PACS numbers: 04.40.-b, 04.40.Nr, 04.40.Dg
Keywords: Relativistic Fluids, nonspherical sources, interior solutions.

arXiv:1406.3452v2 [gr-qc] 26 Jun 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper [1], using a 1+3 approach [2–5], we have developped a general framework for studying axially symmetric dissipative fluids. In this work we endeavour to apply this approach to the specific case of shear-free fluids.

The relevance of the shear tensor in the evolution of self-gravitating systems and the consequences emerging from its vanishing have been discussed by many authors (see [6]–[12] and references therein).

Furthermore as it has been recently shown [13] the shear–free flow (in the nondissipative case) appears to be equivalent to the well known homologous evolution. It should be recalled that homology conditions are of great relevance in astrophysics [14]–[16].

Thus, in spite of the fact that the shear-free condition appears to be unstable with respect to some important physical phenomena [17], shear-free fluids play an important role in the study of self-gravitating objects.

As we shall see below the shear-free condition brings out a clear link between the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor ($H_{\alpha\beta}$) and vorticity, even in the general, anisotropic and dissipative, case. It will be shown that for a shear-free fluid (not necessarily perfect), the necessary and sufficient condition to be irrotational is that the Weyl tensor be purely electric, thus generalizing a result by Barnes [18, 19] and Glass [20].

The subcase represented by the geodesic fluid is analyzed in some detail, in particular the dissipationless case. In this latter case it is shown that if the expansion scalar is positive, the system relaxes asymptotically to a FRW spacetime. Also, it is shown that the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor always vanishes in this case

In order to avoid rewriting most of the equations, we shall very often refer to [1]. Thus, we suggest that the reader have at hand reference [1], when reading this manuscript.

II. THE SHEAR-FREE CONDITION AND ITS CONSEQUENCES

We shall consider axially and reflection symmetric fluid distributions (not necessarily bounded). For such a system the most general line element may be written in "Weyl spherical coordinates" as:

$$ds^2 = -A^2dt^2+B^2\left(dr^2+r^2d\theta^2\right)+C^2d\phi^2+2Gd\theta dt, \quad (1)$$

where A, B, C, G are positive functions of t, r and θ. We number the coordinates $x^0 = t, x^1 = r, x^2 = \theta, x^3 = \phi$.

The energy momentum tensor in the "canonical" form reads:

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = (\mu + P)V_\alpha V_\beta + Pg_{\alpha\beta} + \Pi_{\alpha\beta} + q_\alpha V_\beta + q_\beta V_\alpha, \quad (2)$$

where as usual, $\mu, P, \Pi_{\alpha\beta}, V_\beta, q_\alpha$ denote the eneregy density, the isotropic pressure, the anisotropic stress tensor, the four velocity and the heat flow vector, respectively. The anisotropic stress tensor may be written in terms of three scalar functions $(\Pi_I, \Pi_{II}, \Pi_{KL})$, whereas the heat flow vector is defined by two scalar functions q_I, q_{II} (see eqs. (10-16) in [1] for details).

The shear tensor is defined by two scalar functions σ_I, σ_{II}, which in terms of the metric functions read (see eqs.(20-25) in [1]):

* lherrera@usal.es
† adiprisc@ciens.ucv.ve
‡ j.ospino@usal.es

$$2\sigma_I + \sigma_{II} = \frac{3}{A}\left(\frac{\dot{B}}{B} - \frac{\dot{C}}{C}\right) \tag{3}$$

$$2\sigma_{II} + \sigma_I = \frac{3}{A^2B^2r^2 + G^2}\left[AB^2r^2\left(\frac{\dot{B}}{B} - \frac{\dot{C}}{C}\right) + \frac{G^2}{A}\left(-\frac{\dot{A}}{A} + \frac{\dot{G}}{G} - \frac{\dot{C}}{C}\right)\right] \tag{4}$$

For the other kinematical variables (the expansion, the four acceleration and the vorticity) we have:

The expansion

$$\Theta = \frac{AB^2}{r^2A^2B^2 + G^2}\left[r^2\left(2\frac{\dot{B}}{B} + \frac{\dot{C}}{C}\right) + \frac{G^2}{A^2B^2}\left(\frac{\dot{B}}{B} - \frac{\dot{A}}{A} + \frac{\dot{G}}{G} + \frac{\dot{C}}{C}\right)\right]. \tag{5}$$

The four acceleration

$$a_\alpha = V^\beta V_{\alpha;\beta} = a_I K_\alpha + a_{II} L\alpha, \tag{6}$$

with vectors $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{L}$ having components:

$$K_\alpha = (0, B, 0, 0); \qquad L_\alpha = (0, 0, \frac{\sqrt{A^2B^2r^2 + G^2}}{A}, 0), \tag{7}$$

and where the two scalar functions (a_I, a_{II}) are defined by (see eq.(17) in [1])

$$a_I = \frac{A'}{AB}, \tag{8}$$

$$a_{II} = \frac{A}{\sqrt{A^2B^2r^2 + G^2}}\left[\frac{G}{A^2}\left(-\frac{\dot{A}}{A} + \frac{\dot{G}}{G}\right) + \frac{A_{,\theta}}{A}\right], \tag{9}$$

whereas the vorticity vector is defined through a single scalar Ω, given by (see eq.(29) in [1])

$$\Omega = \frac{(AG' - 2GA')}{2AB\sqrt{A^2B^2r^2 + G^2}}, \tag{10}$$

where primes and dots denote derivatives with respect to r and t respectively.

If we assume the evolution to be shear-free, i.e.

$$\sigma_I = \sigma_{II} = 0, \tag{11}$$

then from (3) and (4) we have

$$C(t, r, \theta) = R(r, \theta)B(t, r, \theta)$$
$$G(t, r, \theta) = A(t, r, \theta)B(t, r, \theta)\tilde{G}(r, \theta). \tag{12}$$

From regularity conditions at the origin we must require $R(0, \theta) = \tilde{G}(0, \theta) = 0$.

Next, from (A.5) in [1] we may write, if $\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = 0$,

$$\nabla_{\langle\alpha}\omega_{\beta\rangle} + 2\omega_{\langle\alpha}a_{\beta\rangle} = H_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{13}$$

where angled brackets denote the spatially projected, symmetric and trace-free part, and $\nabla_\alpha\omega_\beta \equiv h^\delta_\alpha\omega_{\beta;\delta}$.

From the above it follows at once that $\omega_\alpha = 0 \Rightarrow H_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. Furthermore the inverse is also true. Indeed, assuming $H_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ in (13), we obtain

$$\nabla_\alpha\omega^\alpha = -2a_\alpha\omega^\alpha, \tag{14}$$

however, if the shear tensor vanishes, the following identity holds

$$\nabla_\alpha\omega^\alpha = a_\alpha\omega^\alpha. \tag{15}$$

Eqs. (14) and (15) imply that $\omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. Alternatively, the regularity condition at the origin $\omega_\alpha(r = 0) = 0$, can be analytically extended to the whole distribution, by taking successive ∇_α derivatives of (14), thereby leading to the same result.

Thus, using the notation of [1], we have established that

$$H_1 = H_2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Omega = 0, \tag{16}$$

where H_1 and H_2 are the two scalar functions which define the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor.

It is important to stress the point that in order to arrive at (16) we have used the tensorial equation (A.5) (eq.(13) above), which is not restricted to the axially symmetric case. In other words the necessary and sufficient condition for a shear-free fluid to be irrotational is that the Weyl tensor be purely electric. This generalizes a result by Barnes [18, 19] and Glass [20], to anisotropic and dissipative fluids (observe that in [1] it was incorrectly stated that such a generalization only applies to non dissipative fluids).

For the heat flow scalars we obtain in this case (shear–free and axially symmetric), using (B.6) and (B.7) from [1]

$$4\pi q_I = \frac{1}{3B}\Theta', \tag{17}$$

$$4\pi q_{II} = \frac{1}{3Br}\Theta_{,\theta}. \tag{18}$$

Thus in the dissipationless case, the expansion scalar is homogeneous, $\Theta = \Theta(t)$.

III. GEODESIC CONDITION: $a_\alpha = 0$

We shall further restrict our system to the case of vanishing four–acceleration. Two important observations are in order at this point:

- As it will be shown below, all, geodesic and shear–free fluids, are necessarily irrotational.

- Shear–free irrotational, geodesic fluids, have been analyzed in great detail by Coley and McManus [21, 22]. Here we look at the axially symmetric heat conducting case of these fluid distributions.

Next, the geodesic condition implies that

$$a_I = \frac{A'}{AB} = 0 \;\; \Rightarrow \;\; A = \tilde{A}(t,\theta) \tag{19}$$

and

$$a_{II} = \frac{1}{B\sqrt{r^2+\tilde{G}^2}}(\frac{\tilde{G}\Theta B}{3}+\frac{A_{,\theta}}{A}) = 0 \;\; \Rightarrow \;\; \tilde{G}\Theta B = F_2(t,\theta) \tag{20}$$

Given that $\Omega(t,0,\theta) = \tilde{G}(t,0,\theta) = 0$, from (20) we find that

$$F_2(t,\theta) = 0 \;\; \Rightarrow \;\; \Omega = 0 \;\text{ or }\; \Theta = 0. \tag{21}$$

The above results can also be obtained from (A.3) in [1], which reads in this particular case as:

$$h^\beta_\alpha V^\delta \omega_{\beta;\delta} = -\frac{2}{3}\Theta\omega_\alpha. \tag{22}$$

Indeed, combining the above equation or its projection on the **KL** vectors (eq. (B.5) in [1]), with (3), (4) and (5) we obtain the same result, i.e. $\Theta\Omega = 0$. This is in agreement with the so called "shear–free conjecture" for perfect fluids, which suggests that $\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ implies $\Theta\Omega = 0$ (see [23] and references therein). Here we have not restricted ourselves to the perfect fluid case, although our result only applies to geodesic fluids.

Let us first consider the case:

A. $\qquad \Omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \Theta \neq 0.$

In this case the line element takes the form

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + B^2(t,r,\theta)\left[dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + R^2(r,\theta)d\phi^2\right], \tag{23}$$

and the following equations have to be satisfied:

The "continuity" equation (Eq.(A.6) in [1])

$$\dot{\mu} + (\mu + P)\Theta + q^\alpha_{;\alpha} = 0. \tag{24}$$

The generalized "Euler" equation (Eq.(A.7) in [1])

$$h^\beta_\alpha(P_{,\beta} + \Pi^\mu_{\beta;\mu} + q_{\beta;\mu}V^\mu) + \frac{4}{3}\Theta q_\alpha = 0. \tag{25}$$

In the non-dissipative case, it is known that the shear-free condition poses restrictions on the equilibrium equation of state (see [6, 7]) even in the non-geodesic case. Thus, it is legitimate to ask whether or not, in our case, any admissible equation of state is restricted by the transport equation assumed for the heat transport?

The answer to the above question seems to be affirmative, if we observe that the last term within the round bracket in (25), is related to the thermodynamic variables through the transport equation (57) in [1] (if we assume the Israel-Stewart theory). However, in the general case ($a_\alpha \neq 0$), there is four–acceleration–heat coupling, and the answer is not so evident, requiring a more detailed analysis which is outside of the scope of this manuscript.

Next, from equations (B.2, B.3, B.4) in [1], it follows that

$$Y_I = Y_{KL} = Y_{II} = 0, \tag{26}$$

implying that

$$\begin{gathered} X_I = -2\mathcal{E}_I, X_{II} = -2\mathcal{E}_{II}, X_{KL} = -2\mathcal{E}_{KL}, \\ \mathcal{E}_I = 4\pi\Pi_I, \mathcal{E}_{II} = 4\pi\Pi_{II}, \mathcal{E}_{KL} = 4\pi\Pi_{KL}, \end{gathered} \tag{27}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_I, \mathcal{E}_{II}, \mathcal{E}_{KL}$ are the three scalar functions defining the electric part of the Weyl tensor (see [1] for details), and $Y_I, Y_{KL}, Y_{II}, X_I, X_{II}, X_{KL}$ are some of the structure scalars obtained from the orthogonal splitting of the Riemann tensor which are defined in eqs.(38-50) in [1].
Also, as stated before, from (B.6) and (B.7), we obtain (17) and (18).

Finally, B.10–B.18 in [1], produce (some of which are redundant):

$$-\frac{1}{3}(X_I - 4\pi\mu)\dot{} + \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{E}_I\Theta = -\frac{4\pi}{3}(\mu + P + \frac{1}{3}\Pi_I)\Theta - \frac{4\pi}{B}q_I' - 4\pi\frac{q_{II}B_\theta}{B^2 r}, \tag{28}$$

$$-\dot{X}_{KL} - \Theta X_{KL} = \frac{8\pi}{3}\Pi_{KL}\Theta - 2\pi(K^\mu L^\nu + K^\nu L^\mu)q_{\nu;\mu}, \tag{29}$$

$$\frac{1}{3}(-X_{II} + 4\pi\mu)\dot{} + \frac{\Theta}{3}\mathcal{E}_{II} = -\frac{4\pi}{3}(\mu + P + \frac{1}{3}\Pi_{II})\Theta - 4\pi L^\mu L^\nu q_{\nu;\mu}. \tag{30}$$

We shall now specialize to the dissipationless case $q_I = q_{II} = 0$, (similar to the models analyzed in [22] although the anisotropic stress tensor is more general). The Petrov type of each specific model depending on the number of distinct eigenvalues of $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}$ [24, 25].
From the equations (5), (17), (18), (24) and (26) it follows at once that in the dissipationless case:

$$\begin{gathered} \Theta = \Theta(t) \;\; \Rightarrow \;\; B(t,r,\theta) = f(t)b(r,\theta), \\ \mu = \mu(t)\,, P = P(t)\,, \Pi_I = \Pi_I(t), \\ \Pi_{II} = \Pi_{II}(t)\,, \Pi_{KL} = \Pi_{KL}(t), \end{gathered} \tag{31}$$

where the fact has been used that $Y_T = 4\pi(\mu + 3P)$ (Eq.(42) in [1]).

Then, equations (28), (29) and (30) may be easily integrated to obtain

$$\begin{gathered} \mathcal{E}_I = \mathcal{E}_I(0)exp[-\frac{2}{3}\int\Theta dt], \qquad \mathcal{E}_{II} = \mathcal{E}_{II}(0)exp[-\frac{2}{3}\int\Theta dt], \\ \mathcal{E}_{KL} = \mathcal{E}_{KL}(0)exp[-\frac{2}{3}\int\Theta dt], \end{gathered} \tag{32}$$

or feeding the expression of Θ back into (32):

$$\mathcal{E}_I = \frac{\mathcal{E}_I(0)}{B^2}, \qquad \mathcal{E}_{II} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{II}(0)}{B^2}, \qquad \mathcal{E}_{KL} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{KL}(0)}{B^2}. \tag{33}$$

From the above it becomes evident that $B = f(t)$, and in the case $\Theta > 0$ the system tends to a FRW spacetime.

Let us now analyze the other case.

B. $\Theta = 0, \Omega \neq 0.$

In this case the system becomes time independent, as it can be easily inferred from (5).

Then, from B.1, B.2, B.3 and B.4 in [1], we obtain:

$$2\Omega^2 = Y_T = 2Y_I = 2Y_{II}, \qquad Y_{KL} = 0, \tag{34}$$

and from B.6 and B.7 in [1] :

$$-(\Omega BR)_{,\theta} = 8\pi q_I B^2 R\sqrt{r^2 + \tilde{G}^2}, \tag{35}$$

$$(\Omega BR)' = 8\pi q_{II} B^2 R. \tag{36}$$

From the two equations above it becomes evident that in the dissipationless case, $\Omega = 0$, implying because of (34), that $\mu = P = 0$, unless we assume the equation of state $\mu = -3P$. In other words, any model belonging to this class ($\Theta = 0$) must necessarily be dissipative.

However it is a simple matter to check, from B.8, B.9 and B.13 in [1], together with (35), (36) and the regularity conditions on the axis of symmetry, that no such models ($\Theta = 0$) exist.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Using the framework developed in [1] we have analyzed in some detail the general properties of the shear-free case. We have seen that, for a general dissipative and anisotropic fluid, vanishing vorticity, is a necessary and sufficient condition for the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor to vanish, providing a generalization of the same result for perfect fluids obtained in [18–20]. This result, in turn, implies that vorticity should necessarily appear if the system radiates gravitationally. We stress that this result is not restricted to the axially (and reflection) symmetric case. This further reinforces the well established link between radiation and vorticity (see [26] and references therein).

In the geodesic case, the vorticity always vanishes (and thereof the magnetic parts of the Weyl tensor), suggesting that in this latter case no gravitational radiation is produced during the evolution. This result is in agreement with the shear–free conjecture mentioned above. However we do not know if it holds for the non–geodesic case. if it does, then it is clear that we should consider shearing fluids, when looking for sources of gravitational radiation.

The above result is also similar to the one obtained for the cylindrically symmetric case [27], and suggests (as does the shear–free conjecture) a link between the shear of the source and the generation of gravitational radiation during the evolution.

In the geodesic case we also observe that, in the non-dissipative case, the models do not need to be FRW (as already stressed in [21]), however the system relaxes to the FRW spacetime (if Θ is positive). In presence of dissipative fluxes, such tendency does not appear, further illustrating the relevance of dissipative processes in the evolution of self-gravitating fluids.

[1] L. Herrera, A. Di Prisco, J. Ibáñez and J. Ospino, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 084034, (2014).

[2] G. F. R. Ellis Relativistic Cosmology in: Proceedings of the International School of Physics " Enrico Fermi", Course 47: General Relativity and Cosmology. Ed. R. K. Sachs (Academic Press, New York and London) (1971).

[3] G. F. R. Ellis and H. van Ellst, *gr–qc/9812046v4* (1998).

[4] G. F. R. Ellis *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **41**, 581 (2009).

[5] G. F. R. Ellis, R. Maartens and M. A. H. MacCallum, *Relativistic Cosmology* (Cambridge U. P., Cambridge) (2012).

[6] C. B. Collins and J. Wainwright, *Phys. Rev. D* **27**, 1209 (1983).

[7] E. N. Glass, *J. Math. Phys.* **20**, 1508 (1979).

[8] R. Chan, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **299**, 811 (1998).

[9] P. Joshi, N. Dadhich and R. Maartens, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 101501 (2002).

[10] P. Joshi, R. Goswami and N. Dadhich, *gr–qc*/0308012.

[11] L. Herrera and N. O. Santos, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **343**, 1207 (2003).

[12] M. Govender, K. P. Reddy and S. D. Maharaj, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **23**, 1450013 (2014).

[13] L. Herrera, N. O. Santos and A. Wang, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 084026 (2008).

[14] M. Schwarzschild, *Structure and Evolution of the Stars*, (Dover, New York) (1958).

[15] R. Kippenhahn and A. Weigert, *Stellar Structure and Evolution*, (Springer Verlag, Berlin) (1990).

[16] C. Hansen and S. Kawaler, *Stellar Interiors: Physical Principles, Structure and Evolution*, (Springer Verlag, Berlin) (1994).

[17] L. Herrera, A. Di Prisco and J. Ospino, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **42**, 1585 (2010).

[18] A. Barnes, *Gen Rel. Gravit* **4**, 105 (1973).

[19] A. Barnes, in *Classical general relativity*. Eds. W.B Bonnor, J.N. Islam and M.A.H. MacCallum (Cambridge University Press) (1984).

[20] E. N. Glass, *J. Math. Phys.* **16**, 2361 (1975).

[21] A. A. Coley and D. J. McManus, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **11**, 1261 (1994).

[22] D. J. McManus and A. A. Coley, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **11**, 2045 (1994).
[23] N Van den Bergh, J. Carminati and H. R. Karimian, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **24**, 3735 (2007).
[24] M. Trumper, *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 584 (1965).
[25] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. MacCallum, C. Honselaers and E. Herlt, *Exact Solutions to Einstein's Field Equations. Second Edition*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge), (2003).
[26] L. Herrera, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **46**, 1654 (2014).
[27] A. Di Prisco, L. Herrera, M. A. H. MacCallum and N. O. Santos, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 064031 (2009).